Your News

RESIDENTS ASKED TO COMMENT ON BOUNDARY CHANGES

SPECIAL REPORT

The Boundary Commission for England has recently published proposals for revised parliamentary constituency boundaries in the North East.

There are three key proposals which affect *our* residents:

- 1) It is proposed that South Tynedale and Haltwhistle, historically part of Northumberland, move into a new Co. Durham based Constituency called Consett & Barnard Castle.
- 2) It is proposed that Ponteland is split in two and that Ponteland East is moved out of the Hexham Constituency into the Berwick-upon-Tweed constituency.
- 3) It is proposed that Chopwell and Rowlands Gill, Ryton, Crookhill & Stella, along with Greenside & Crawcrook are put into the Hexham Constituency.

The Boundary Commission is inviting local people to tell them whether they agree with the revised boundaries.

It seems clear to many local residents that these proposals would adversely impact on our local communities and the bonds and links which tie them together. Already several residents have commented that:

South Tynedale and Haltwhistle are historically part of Northumberland. It would be crazy to link them with Co. Durham, with which they have no ties.

Ponteland is a coherent town with its own identity. It makes no sense to split the town in two.

Certain places such as Rowlands Gill and Chopwell are actually joined by the Derwent Valley to Consett, and they are much closer to Consett than they are to Hexham. They were of course formerly part of Co. Durham.

This leaflet has been created by your local Conservatives and residents, at no cost to the taxpayer. We urge you to get involved. This is your chance.

How you can have your say...

The more people who take time to write to the Commission, the more likely it is that they will re-consider their proposals.

1) You can express your concerns by your own letter:

Send your comments to: Boundary Commission for England, 35 Great Smith Street, London, SW1P 3BQ.

(A personalised objection would be preferable. Your letter will carry more weight if it actually makes both counter proposals and objections. You must include your name and address.)

- 2) Or you can use the specimen letter on the reverse.
- 3) Alternatively you can simply email:

reviews@bcommengland.x.gsi.gov.uk

(Your email will carry more weight if it actually makes both counter proposals and objections. You must also include your name and address.)

The deadline for receipt of your comments is 5th December 2011.

There are also Public Hearings, where you can voice your views, on 14 and 15 November at Newcastle's Civic Centre, Barras Bridge. Find out more and register online if you wish to attend:

Name*			Date
Address*			
Dear Sir/Madam,			
object to the Boundary Cor	mmission's draft propo	osals for the North Ea	ist.
disagree specifically with the Haltwhistle and South Tyneda proposed new Consett and Ba Ponteland by transferring Pon	lle County Divisions from Arnard Castle Constituer	m the current Hexham ncy. I also believe it is v	Constituency to the wrong to split up the town of
support the counter propose much more sense to transfer Consett and Barnard Castle C n the Hexham Constituency, nistorically belong. My object grounds:	Derwent Valley based constituency. I also supp and Rothbury in the Ber	ommunities, Chopwell ort the counter propos rwick upon Tweed Con	and Rowlands Gill, to the sals to retain Ponteland East stituency where they
1) The guidelines to the Corwhere possible. They have not accessibility transport links run East to We along the Tyne valley. 3) There are significant incomposed Constituency of Conyorkshire Dales, and Haltwhis (4) Important local ties would be literally split in two. The Horesidents of South Tynedale a more in common culturally, especially and Castle in Consett or Barnard Castle in Conset	ot been followed in this ity of the proposed Coest, such as the A69 and onveniences that would need and South Tynedale doe broken by these pexham hinterland, 'the lind Haltwhistle, as part conomically, and histor Co. Durham with which the Hexham Constituen Gill on the River Derwe	case. nstituency makes no I the Newcastle to Carl d result from the prope e would run from Hadr would become margir proposed changes. Th Shire', would be cut of of South Northumberla ically with Hexham and there is little connection cy. ent were always areas	logical sense. The major isle railway line that run posed changes. The rian's Wall all the way to the nalised outposts. The town of Ponteland would from the town. The and, generally have much d the Tyne Valley than with on. Tynedale and Ponteland sof Co. Durham and would sof Co. Durham and would solve the contract of the Co. Durham and would solve the contract of the Co. Durham and would solve the contract of the Co. Durham and would solve the contract of the Co. Durham and would solve the contract of the
Yours sincerely, * PS: (Any additional comments yo	ou may have)		
,			
	*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

You can also write to the Boundary Commission with your own individual letter if you prefer.



Please return to: Boundary Commission for England, 35 Great Smith Street, London, SW1P 3BQ.

For more details on the consultation go to: www.boundarycommissionforengland.independent.gov.uk

If you would like more information please call us on

01434 603777

Or email us at: hexham@tory.org